

Victorian Inspectorate Report to the Parliament of Victoria on the Department of Economic Development, Jobs, Transport and Resources pursuant to s. 30Q of the *Surveillance Devices Act* 1999

Report No. 2 for 2014-2015

3 August 2015

Contents

INTRODUCTION1
FEATURES OF THE LEGISLATION
OBJECTIVES OF THE SD ACT
TYPES OF SURVEILLANCE DEVICES
WARRANTS AND EMERGENCY AUTHORISATIONS
RECORDS, DOCUMENTS AND REPORTS REQUIRED BY THE SD ACT
SECURITY AND DESTRUCTION OF INFORMATION OBTAINED
PROTECTED INFORMATION REGISTERS
THE VICTORIAN INSPECTORATE4
ROLE OF THE VI
THE POWERS OF THE VI UNDER THE SD ACT
INSPECTION OF AGENCY RECORDS4
METHODOLOGY
ASSESSING COMPLIANCE
INSPECTION RESULTS
Report to the Judge or Magistrate – s. 30K6
Summary7
Recommendations
Acknowledgement
NEXT REPORT7

List of Abbreviations

CSG	Compliance Support Group (within DELWP)
DEDJTR	Department of Economic Development, Jobs, Transport and Resources
DELWP	Department of Environment, Land, Water and Planning
DEPI	Department of Environment and Primary Industries
GMA	Game Management Authority
IBAC	Independent Broad-based Anti-corruption Commission
PI	Protected information
PIM	Public Interest Monitor
PI register	Protected information register
SD Act	Surveillance Devices Act 1999 (Vic)
VI	Victorian Inspectorate

INTRODUCTION

The *Surveillance Devices Act* 1999 (SD Act) regulates the use of surveillance devices in the State of Victoria. The SD Act makes provision for warrants and emergency authorisations permitting the installation, use, maintenance and retrieval of surveillance devices by five State law enforcement agencies.¹ Use of surveillance devices in relation to private activity and private conversations is otherwise generally unlawful in Victoria.²

The SD Act imposes a regime of strict controls relating to the use of surveillance devices, including requirements for agencies to make and keep records and documents and to destroy certain material when it is not likely to be further required for an authorised purpose. It also provides for the independent inspection of agency records and documents by the Victorian Inspectorate (VI). The VI inspects agency records, assessing statutory compliance and reporting compliance results to Parliament bi-annually. The involvement of the Public Interest Monitor³ (PIM) in the warrant application process has added another level of scrutiny to the control and oversight regimes.

During the period under review, six state law enforcement agencies⁴ were permitted to use surveillance devices under the SD Act:

- Victoria Police
- Independent Broad-based Anti-corruption Commission (IBAC)
- Department of Environment, Land, Water and Planning (DELWP) from 1 January 2015
- Department of Economic Development, Jobs, Transport and Resources (DEDJTR) from 1 January 2015
- Department of Environment and Primary Industries (DEPI) to 31 December 2014
- Game Management Authority (GMA)

During the period under report government departmental changes occurred. On 1 January 2015, DEPI ceased to exist, and two new departments were created and took over the functions of DEPI. As a result of this, the two divisions within the former DEPI which use the powers under the SD Act are now located in separate departments. The Compliance Support Group (CSG) now comes within the DELWP and Fisheries Victoria is now located in DEDJTR.

The VI has implemented a new reporting regime and a separate report for each agency is now produced, whereas in the past a combined report dealing with all agencies authorised to use surveillance devices was produced.

¹ The *Surveillance Devices Act* 1999 (SD Act) also permits the Australian Crime Commission (ACC) to use the provisions of the Act. Inspection of ACC records and documents is conducted by the Commonwealth Ombudsman pursuant to s. 55(2) of the *Surveillance Devices Act* 2004 (Cth).

² The SD Act provides for certain exceptions at ss. 5, 6(2), 7(2), 8(2), 9(2), 9B(2)(b) and (c), 9C(2).

³ Established by the *Public Interest Monitor Act* 2011.

⁴ Law enforcement agency is defined in s. 3.

In accordance with statutory obligations⁵ set out in the SD Act, this report is submitted to the Parliament of Victoria with a copy provided to the Minister responsible for the SD Act, the Attorney-General. This report outlines the results of VI inspections conducted of Fisheries Victoria, which, as explained above, was within the former Department of Environment and Primary Industries (DEPI) until 31 December 2014 and is now within DEDJTR. The report comments on the level of statutory compliance achieved by Fisheries Victoria and its law enforcement officers for the 2014-2015 reporting period.

FEATURES OF THE LEGISLATION

OBJECTIVES OF THE SD ACT

The primary purposes of the SD Act⁶ are to:

- regulate the installation, use, maintenance and retrieval of surveillance devices
- restrict the use, communication and publication of information obtained through the use of surveillance devices or otherwise connected with surveillance device operations
- establish procedures for law enforcement officers to obtain warrants or emergency authorisations for the installation, use, maintenance and retrieval of surveillance devices
- create offences relating to the improper installation or use of surveillance devices
- impose requirements for the secure storage and destruction of records and for the making of reports to judges, magistrates and to Parliament in connection with surveillance device operations
- recognise (subject to the *Surveillance Devices Regulations* 2006) warrants and emergency authorisations issued in other jurisdictions authorising the installation, use and retrieval of surveillance devices.

TYPES OF SURVEILLANCE DEVICES

The SD Act provides for the use of the following surveillance devices:⁷

- data surveillance devices
- listening devices
- optical devices
- tracking devices.

⁵ SD Act s. 30Q.

⁶ SD Act s. 1.

⁷ Surveillance device is defined in s. 3.

WARRANTS AND EMERGENCY AUTHORISATIONS

The SD Act provides for the issue of surveillance device warrants⁸ and retrieval warrants⁹ and in a limited range of circumstances for emergency authorisation¹⁰ of the use of surveillance devices. A warrant must be sought from a Supreme Court Judge, except in the case of an application for a tracking device only, where the application may be made to a magistrate. An emergency authorisation may only be granted by a senior officer of Victoria Police or the IBAC.¹¹

RECORDS, DOCUMENTS AND REPORTS REQUIRED BY THE SD ACT

The SD Act requires each agency to retain certain documents and to make certain records in connection with the use of surveillance devices. These requirements are set out fully in the Act.¹²

Each agency is required to report to the judge or magistrate who issued a warrant under the Act by a date specified in the warrant. The SD Act prescribes a number of matters that must be included in such reports.¹³ The chief officer of each agency is also required to report annually to the Minister¹⁴ in relation to the agency's use of surveillance devices.

SECURITY AND DESTRUCTION OF INFORMATION OBTAINED

The SD Act prescribes agency obligations to keep information obtained by means of a surveillance device secure¹⁵ and to undertake the destruction of such information¹⁶ when it is unlikely to be required for a permitted purpose.¹⁷

PROTECTED INFORMATION REGISTERS

For the purpose of this report, the term 'protected information' (PI) will (unless otherwise specified) be used to refer only to information obtained by means of a surveillance device, although the SD Act gives the term a wider definition.¹⁸ The SD Act limits and regulates the use, communication and publication of PI.¹⁹

Section 30N of the SD Act requires records to be kept of a number of matters relating to the use and communication of PI. Somewhat confusingly, the term 'register' is used by all agencies for the records

⁸ SD Act ss. 15 to 20.

⁹ Ibid ss. 20C to 20G.

¹⁰ Ibid ss. 25 to 30.

¹¹ Ibid ss. 25 and 26.

¹² Ibid ss. 30M, 30N and 30O.

¹³ Ibid s. 30K.

¹⁴ Ibid s. 30L.

¹⁵ Ibid s. 30H(1)(a).

¹⁶ Ibid s. 30H(1)(b).

¹⁷ Defined in SD Act ss. 30F and 30G.

¹⁸ SD Act s. 30D.

¹⁹ Ibid s. 30E.

(PI registers) kept by them for the purpose of s. 30N(c) - (e), although that section does not itself require a 'register'. The agency PI registers referred to in this report should not be confused with the required register of warrants and emergency authorisations maintained by each agency pursuant to s. 300. The two are quite different documents.

THE VICTORIAN INSPECTORATE

ROLE OF THE VI

The VI must, from time to time, inspect the records of Victorian law enforcement agencies with authority to use surveillance devices under a warrant or emergency authorisation to determine the extent of compliance with the Act.²⁰ The SD Act requires the VI report to Parliament at six-monthly intervals (after 1 January and 1 July each year) on the results of each inspection under s. 30P and to give a copy of each report to the Minister at the same time as it is transmitted to the Parliament.²¹

THE POWERS OF THE VI UNDER THE SD ACT

For the purpose of an inspection pursuant to the SD Act the VI is provided with certain powers²² to access agency premises, records and information and to require members of staff of the agency to provide information in their possession that the VI considers necessary and relevant to the inspection.

INSPECTION OF AGENCY RECORDS

METHODOLOGY

This report addresses the results of inspections undertaken by the VI from 1 July 2014 to 30 June 2015. Each inspection included examination of the various documents,²³ records,²⁴ reports,²⁵ registers²⁶ and other relevant material held by the Fisheries Victoria division of DEDJTR. All records relating to all warrants were inspected; there was no sampling of records.

The VI has an established process for inspections at DEDJTR whereby a warrant file and registers are inspected even if the warrant remains extant or reports have not been completed, this is because DEDJTR makes only occasional use of the provisions of the SD Act.

²⁰ Ibid s. 30P(1).

²¹ Ibid s. 30Q.

²² Ibid s. 30P(2).

²³ Ibid s. 30M.

²⁴ Ibid s. 30N.

²⁵ Ibid s. 30K.

²⁶ Ibid ss. 30N and 30O.

In 2014-2015 DEDJTR warrant files and related investigator records were inspected on a bi-annual basis.

ASSESSING COMPLIANCE

Section 30P(1) of the SD Act requires the VI to inspect the records of each law enforcement agency to determine the extent of the agency's compliance with the Act. Where appropriate, further information may be sought from relevant law enforcement officers. The records and documents inspected for each warrant are considered against all of the agency's statutory obligations to the extent relevant to the particular warrant.

Compliance obligations include requirements relating to:

- the process for obtaining warrants
- the use of authorised surveillance devices
- the keeping of required records and documents
- restrictions on the use, communication and publication of PI
- restrictions on reporting information obtained by a surveillance device warrant
- the reports that must be made
- the security and destruction of PI obtained by means of a surveillance device.

In reporting the results of each inspection in the following sections of this report, it is not practicable to include comment on every compliance requirement under the SD Act. Comment is made, however, when a compliance issue has been identified or when there is some other particular reason to include it.

INSPECTION RESULTS

During the 2014-2015 reporting period the records of Fisheries Victoria were inspected twice. The first inspection occurred in October 2015 when Fisheries Victoria was part of the former DEPI. The second inspection occurred in April 2015, at which point DEPI had ceased to exist and Fisheries Victoria came under DEDJTR.

During the period under report two surveillance device warrant files were inspected. Each warrant was inspected twice as at the time of the first inspection the report to the Judge had not been completed. The reports were finalised at the time of the second inspection and this completes the inspection of these warrant files.

Errors were detected in both warrants files at the second inspection regarding the s. 30K requirements and these are discussed below. There are a number of compliance requirements that the VI assesses during an inspection and only those where an issue is identified are discussed in this report.

REPORT TO THE JUDGE OR MAGISTRATE - S. 30K

Warrant file No. 1

In respect of the first warrant file the s. 30K report to the Judge did not state adequately how the condition attached to the warrant had been complied with. This is considered an administrative error as there had been an attempt to address this requirement although it did not clearly answer the question. No further compliance issues were noted in respect of this warrant file.

Warrant file No. 2

One compliance error and one practice issue were detected in relation to the second warrant file. The compliance error concerned the requirement under s. 30K(2)(b)(i) for the names of all persons involved in the execution of the warrant to be stated in the report. The s. 30K report inspected was erroneous as it only included the names of the persons involved in the installation of the surveillance device and excluded names of persons involved in the maintenance and/or retrieval of the surveillance device. The VI is of the view that the word 'execution' has a broad meaning in this instance, encompassing all activity occurring under the authority of the warrant including installation, maintenance and retrieval activity. Fisheries Victoria concur with this view and indicated that reports in the future will contain all required names.

The s. 30K report also included the date the warrant was executed, however the date stated was incorrect. This is considered a practice issue as it is not a requirement to include the date the warrant was executed in the report; the requirement is only to state in the report whether the warrant was executed (s. 30K(2)(a)). However it was noted as a practice issue as it is important for the information included in the report to be correct, and this was explained to Fisheries Victoria staff.

As the purpose of the s. 30K report is to inform the issuing judge or magistrate of any activity that occurred under the authority of the warrant as well as to provide details regarding the benefit to the investigation it is important for the information contained within the report to be correct. The VI is of the view that where errors are identified in respect of reports made under s. 30K, the issuing judge or magistrate should be advised accordingly.

SUMMARY

While errors were detected in both s. 30K reports, these were minor in nature and it was clear that attempts had been made to satisfy the requirements. Fisheries Victoria uses the provisions of the SD Act infrequently, however they continue to demonstrate a thorough understanding of the requirements of the SD Act and are receptive to the feedback provided by the VI following an inspection.

RECOMMENDATIONS

No formal recommendations were made in the previous report.

The VI does not make any formal recommendations within this report.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The VI acknowledges the full cooperation of the former DEPI and DEDJTR. Particular note is made of the ready assistance given to the VI by Fisheries Victoria staff who made records available for inspection and assisted VI staff when necessary during the inspection process.

NEXT REPORT

As required under the SD Act the next report covering DEDJTR use of the provisions of the SD Act will be made after 1 January 2016.

pb-Kett

Robin Brett QC Inspector Victorian Inspectorate